



Appendix D: City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

## **City of Jonesville 2024 – 2028 Recreation Plan**

January 17, 2024

*This page intentionally blank.*

Plan Approval and Adoption

Jonesville Planning Commission / Recreation Planning Advisory Committee .....vii

Jonesville City Council .....ix

Michigan Department of Natural Resources .....

*The 2024 – 2028 edition of the City of Jonesville Recreation Plan will expire on December 31, 2028*

## Table of Contents

## Section

1.	<b>Community Description</b> .....	1-1
	Location .....	1-3
	A Brief Socioeconomic Summary .....	1-5
	The Health of Residents .....	1-8
2.	<b>Administrative Structure</b> .....	2-1
	Authorization .....	2-3
	Organizational Structure .....	2-3
	Sources of Funding .....	2-4
	Parks and Recreation Budgeting .....	2-5
	Relationships with Other Public Agencies .....	2-5
3.	<b>Recreation and Resource Inventories</b> .....	3-1
	Local Parks and Recreation Facilities .....	3-3
	Barrier-Free Access .....	3-4
	Park Standards and Types .....	3-5
	Grant-Assisted Parks and Recreation Facilities .....	3-6
	Regional Parks and Recreation Facilities and Programs .....	3-8
	State Parks .....	3-8
	County Parks .....	3-8
	City of Hillsdale Parks .....	3-9
	Other Local Parks .....	3-9
	Local Colleges .....	3-9
	Private Parks and Recreation Facilities .....	3-9



	Sidewalks .....	3-10
	National and State Historical Sites and Heritage Routes .....	3-14
	Resource Inventory .....	3-15
	Recreational Programming .....	3-15
	Jonesville Riverfest .....	3-16
	Soils .....	3-16
	Water Resources .....	3-17
	Topography .....	3-17
	Land Use .....	3-17
4.	<b>Descriptions of the Planning and Public Input Processes</b> .....	4-1
	Description of the Planning Process .....	4-3
	Description of the Public Input Process .....	4-4
	Recreation Survey .....	4-5
	Presentation and Solicitation Comments .....	4-6
	One-Month Review Period .....	4-8
	Public Hearing .....	4-8
	Hillsdale County and Region 2 Planning Commission .....	4-8
5.	<b>Goals and Objectives</b> .....	5-1
	Goals and Objectives .....	5-3
	Background Information .....	5-4
	Wright Street Park Goals and Objectives .....	5-6
	Carl Fast Memorial Park Goals and Objectives .....	5-18
	Improve Pedestrian Connectivity Goals and Objectives .....	5-25
	Access to the St. Joseph River Goals and Objectives .....	5-31
6.	<b>Action Plan</b> .....	6-1
	Action Plan .....	6-3

## Appendices

A.	<b>Demographics</b> .....	A-1
----	---------------------------	-----

B.   **Public Input** ..... B-1

C.   **Trail Planning** ..... C-1

Maps

1.       Location ..... 1-4

          Base Map ..... 1-7

2.       Schools ..... 2-7

3.       Recreation ..... 3-7

          Sidewalks ..... 3-11

          Nonmotorized Transportation ..... 3-13

          Hydrology ..... 3-18

          Topography ..... 3-19

          Future Land Use ..... 3-20

CITY OF JONESVILLE COUNTY OF HILLSDALE STATE OF MICHIGAN

RESOLUTION - CITY OF JONESVILLE 2024-2028 RECREATION PLAN

At a Regular Meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Jonesville, County of Hillsdale, State of Michigan, held in the City Hall in said City on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of January 2024, at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Christine Bowman, Charles Crouch, Annette Sands and Ryan Scholfield.

ABSENT: Jim Ackerson, Marty Ethridge and Brenda Guyse.

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner Charles Crouch and supported by Commissioner Annette Sands.

WHEREAS, the City of Jonesville has undertaken an update of its five-year recreation plan, which describes the community's physical and social characteristics, existing parks and recreation facilities, and the desired actions to be taken to improve parks and recreation facilities during the period from 2024 through 2028; and

WHEREAS, the City of Jonesville Planning Commission reviewed the Recreation Plan during the course of several public meetings; and

WHEREAS, the goals and objectives stated in the Recreation Plan were developed in response to needs and deficiencies identified by public input from a park open house and a recreation survey; and

WHEREAS, the Recreation Plan has been made available for public review in the manner required by law and all comments from the public have been considered by the City of Jonesville Planning Commission; and


WHEREAS, the Recreation Plan has been developed for the benefit of the entire community and a public hearing was held by the Jonesville Planning Commission on January 16, 2024 to provide another opportunity for citizens to express opinions, ask questions, and discuss all aspects of the Recreation Plan.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the City of Jonesville Planning Commission hereby recommends that the Jonesville City Council adopt the City of Jonesville 2024-2028 Recreation Plan.

AYES: Members: Christin Bowman, Charles Crouch, Annette Sands and Ryan Scholfield.

NAYS: Members: None.


ABSENT: Members: Jim Ackerson, Marty Ethridge and Brenda Guyse.

  
Cynthia D. Means, Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Jonesville, County of Hillsdale, Michigan at a Regular Meeting held

on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024, and that public notice was given pursuant to Act No. 267, Public Acts of Michigan, 1976, as amended, including in the case of a special or rescheduled meeting notice by publication or posting at least eighteen (18) hours prior to the time set for the meeting.



  
Cynthia D. Means, Clerk

2024-01

CITY OF JONESVILLE  
COUNTY OF HILLSDALE STATE OF MICHIGAN

RESOLUTION - CITY OF JONESVILLE 2024-2028 RECREATION PLAN

At a Regular Meeting of the City Council of the City of Jonesville, County of Hillsdale, State of Michigan, held in the City Hall in said City on the 1 7<sup>th</sup> day of January 2024, at 6:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Tim Bowman, Chris Grider, George Humphries Jr., Delesha Padula, Andy Penrose and Gerry Arno.

ABSENT: Brenda Guyse.

The following resolution was offered by Councilperson Charles Crouch and supported by Councilperson Annette Sands.

WHEREAS, the City of Jonesville has undertaken an update of its five-year recreation plan, which describes the community's physical and social characteristics, existing parks and recreation facilities, and the desired actions to be taken to improve parks and recreation facilities during the period from 2024 through 2028; and

WHEREAS, the City of Jonesville Planning Commission reviewed the Recreation Plan during the course of several public meetings; and


WHEREAS, the goals and objectives stated in the Recreation Plan were developed in response to needs and deficiencies identified by public input from a park open house and a recreation survey; and

WHEREAS, the Recreation Plan has been made available for public review in the manner required by law and all comments from the public have been considered by the City of Jonesville Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Recreation Plan has been developed for the benefit of the entire community and a public hearing was held by the Jonesville Planning Commission on January 16, 2024 to provide another opportunity for citizens to express opinions, ask questions, and discuss all aspects of the Recreation Plan; and


WHEREAS, following the public hearing, the City of Jonesville Planning Commission recommended City Council adoption of the Recreation Plan.


NOW THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the Jonesville City Council hereby adopts the City of Jonesville 2024-2028 Recreation Plan.

  
Cynthia D. Means, Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing constitutes a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the City Council of the City of Jonesville, County of Hillsdale, Michigan at a Regular Meeting held on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024, and that public notice was given pursuant to Act No. 267, Public Acts of Michigan, 1976, as amended, including in the case of a special or rescheduled meeting notice by publication or posting at least eighteen (18) hours prior to the time set for the meeting.



  
Cynthia D. Means, Clerk



*Carl Fast Memorial Park*

Appendix D Section 1

## **Recreation Plan: Community Description**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*



Recreation planning plays an important role in maintaining the quality of life in a community. If a community is to provide effective recreational opportunities, it needs a way of identifying and solving current problems and anticipating future needs. Recreation planning is one means of obtaining a perspective on these problems; developing realistic alternatives; formulating goals, policies, and recommendations; developing criteria to measure change; involving people in the planning, design and decision processes; and providing eligibility to apply for grants from the Michigan Department of Natural Resources.


This 5-Year Parks and Recreation Plan presents an evaluation of the City's recreational needs and opportunities. It considers the existing amenities in and around Jonesville, the anticipated demand for additional or improved facilities, and the means for providing those facilities.

This plan will serve as a guideline for directing Jonesville in the operation and maintenance of existing and future facilities and programs to meet the recreational needs of its citizens. The plan will summarize long-term recommendations intended to guide the development of recreation opportunities, and describes an action and capital improvement program for implementation over the next five years.

A priority of the City of Jonesville is to develop and implement a recreation plan that responds to the recreational desires of their citizens and to plan for enhancements to parks and recreation programs. A sincere effort has been spent in developing this plan, which represents the projected needs for recreation facilities and services in Jonesville for the years 2024 through 2028. For example, the plan provides a clear picture of the recreational opportunities available to residents regardless of who provides them. Nontraditional recreation opportunities such as historic districts/structures and shopping opportunities are catalogued as well as traditional parks and recreation facilities.

### **Location**

The City of Jonesville is located in northwestern Hillsdale County, close to Michigan's border with Northwest Ohio and Northeast Indiana. United States Highway 12 (US-12) and Michigan Highway 99 (M-99) traverse the community. Those thoroughfares provide access to the urbanized areas of Jackson, Ann Arbor, Detroit, Battle Creek, Kalamazoo, and Grand Rapids.



The City of Jonesville has an area of 2.9 square miles, and is surrounded by the following local units of government:

Nearby Local Units of Government	
<b>City of Hillsdale</b>	<b>Hillsdale Township</b>
Adams Township	Litchfield Township
<b>Allen Township</b>	<b>Moscow Township</b>
Fayette Township	Scipio Township

## **A Brief Socioeconomic Summary**

The demographic composition of the City of Jonesville has an effect upon their recreational needs. Pertinent demographic components are detailed below along with a brief paragraph describing the local situation. Each of these components is described in detail in Appendix A of this document.

- **Population History & Projections**

The 2021 population for the City of Jonesville was 2,591. The population of the City increased steadily between 1940 and 2000 although the population has decreased from 2,337 in 2000 to 2,258 in 2010, it rebounded to 2,591 in 2021, a growth rate of nearly fifteen percent. *(Source: US Census Bureau)*

- **Age & Gender of the Population**

The groups defined as School Age (people aged 5 to 17) and Young Adult (people aged 25 to 44) comprised an estimated 22.9 percent and 21.9 percent, respectively, of Jonesville's population during the 2016 – 2021 time period. Adult (people aged 45 to 64) and Preschool (people aged 0 to 4) comprised an estimated 20.2 percent and 13.2 percent, respectively, of the population during that same time period. The smallest age groups during this time period were Older Adult (people aged 65 and older) and College Age (people aged 20 to 24), those being 13.8 percent and 8.0 percent, respectively. An estimated 48.5 percent of Jonesville residents were male. *(Source: American Community Survey)*

- **Household & Family Composition**

There were an estimated 897 households in Jonesville during the 2016 – 2021 time period. Families comprised an estimated 64 percent of these households, while an estimated 28 percent of households were comprised of people living alone. The average household size in Jonesville during the time period was 2.73 people and the average family size was 3.51 people. *(Source: American Community Survey)*

- **Racial & Ethnic Composition**


Race and ethnicity are not significant components of Jonesville's population given its homogeneity. Racial and ethnic minorities comprised an estimated 8.7 percent of city residents. *(Source: American Community Survey)*

- **Disabilities of Residents**

About 17.9 percent of Jonesville residents have some sort of disability, higher than Michigan's 13.9 percent. An estimated 2.3 percent of Jonesville residents between the ages of 5 and 17 had some type of disability during the 2016 – 2021 period, however, it was estimated that zero percent had an ambulatory disability. An estimated 19.3 percent of residents between the ages of 18 and 64 had some type of disability during the same time period with an estimated 10.7 percent with an ambulatory disability. An estimated 37.7 percent of Jonesville residents at least 65 years old had some type of disability including an estimated 27.1 percent with an ambulatory disability. *(Source: American Community Survey)*

- **Income**

The estimated median income for Jonesville households (\$45,329) was less than that for Hillsdale County households (\$54,110), Michigan households (\$63,498) and nationally (\$69,717) during the 2016 – 2021 time period. The estimated median family income for Jonesville families (\$52,875) was less than that for all Hillsdale County households (\$65,351), Michigan households (\$80,523) and nationwide (\$85,806). *(Source: American Community Survey)*



## The Health of Residents

According to local health department estimates collected for the annual Michigan Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (MiBRF) conducted from 2019 through 2021, an estimated 40.2% of Branch, Hillsdale and St. Joseph counties residents were obese and another estimated 38.5% were overweight.<sup>1</sup> “Overweight and obesity substantially increase the risk for cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, lipid disorders, stroke, gallbladder disease, osteoarthritis, sleep apnea, respiratory problems, psychological disorders, stress, incontinence, and cancers of the endometrium, breast, prostate and colon,” according to that report.<sup>2</sup>

A couple factors of particular relevance to community growth, development, and planning contribute to unhealthy weight:

- “Inadequate community infrastructure limits the ability of people to be active. These include lack of accessible indoor and outdoor exercise facilities, neighborhood sidewalks, walking paths, and bicycle trails. Additionally, inclement weather, lack of adequate recreational opportunities, fear of unsafe neighborhoods, and unattractive/unpleasant local environments may prevent people from exercising.”
- “Programs and policies are necessary to promote smart community growth and the establishment of urban and rural environments supportive of physical activity. Active community environments provide access to safe favorable conditions for physical activity and promote the development of social support networks that encourage activity.”

The report recommends cooperation among state and local units of government and residents in the promotion of active environments, including the following actions.

- “Develop walkable communities by widening and maintaining or building sidewalks, safe roadway crossings, and aesthetically pleasing areas.”
- “Encourage bicycling by developing, maintaining, and promoting the use of bike paths.”
- “Require [that] all urban planning and re-design incorporate the concepts of active community environments and thereby promote physical activity.”

---

<sup>1</sup> Table 6 of [Health Indicators and Risk Estimates by Community Health Assessment Regions & Local Health Departments | State of Michigan | Selected Tables | Michigan Behavioral Risk Factor Survey | 2019 – 2021](https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/-/media/Project/Websites/mdhhs/Keeping-Michigan-Healthy/Communicable-and-Chronic-Diseases/Epidemiology-Services/2019-2021_MiBRFSS_Reg-LHD_Tables.pdf?rev=6b39940c42af4367bc59cf883b4591ac&hash=7C990C3EB31B2FEC702AEEFBBFF47C96) ([https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/-/media/Project/Websites/mdhhs/Keeping-Michigan-Healthy/Communicable-and-Chronic-Diseases/Epidemiology-Services/2019-2021\\_MiBRFSS\\_Reg-LHD\\_Tables.pdf?rev=6b39940c42af4367bc59cf883b4591ac&hash=7C990C3EB31B2FEC702AEEFBBFF47C96](https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/-/media/Project/Websites/mdhhs/Keeping-Michigan-Healthy/Communicable-and-Chronic-Diseases/Epidemiology-Services/2019-2021_MiBRFSS_Reg-LHD_Tables.pdf?rev=6b39940c42af4367bc59cf883b4591ac&hash=7C990C3EB31B2FEC702AEEFBBFF47C96)).

<sup>2</sup> [Promoting Healthy Weight in Michigan through Physical Activity and Nutrition](#), part of a series entitled “Informing the Debate: Health Policy: Options for Michigan Policymakers”.



*Carl Fast Memorial Park Playground*

Appendix D Section 2

## **Recreation Plan: Administrative Structure**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*



## Authorization

In response to identified recreation needs, the Jonesville Planning Commission has worked with the Region 2 Planning Commission to develop the *City of Jonesville 2024-2028 Recreation Plan* under the authority of Public Act 156 of 1917 (Recreation and Playgrounds). A copy of PA 156 of 1917 is available online: [http://www.legislature.mi.gov/jvpdab552soxemek3mee3h55\)/documents/mcl/pdf/mcl-Act-156-of-1917.pdf](http://www.legislature.mi.gov/jvpdab552soxemek3mee3h55)/documents/mcl/pdf/mcl-Act-156-of-1917.pdf)

The City of Jonesville maintains its own parks and recreation committee under the authority of this legislation. The act “authorizes cities, villages, counties, townships and school districts to operate systems of public recreation and playgrounds” (*emphasis added*) and allows them to:


- “Operate a system of public recreation and playgrounds; acquire, equip and maintain land, buildings or other recreational facilities; employ a superintendent of recreation and assistants; vote and expend funds for the operation of such system.”
- “operate such a system independently or they may cooperate in its conduct in any manner which they may mutually agree; or they may delegate the operation of the system to a recreation board created by any or all of them, and appropriate money, voted for this purpose, to such board” (*emphasis added*).

The act also allows park systems to operate on “(1) property under its custody and management; (2) other public property;” and “(3) private property, with the consent of the owners.”

## Organizational Structure

The Jonesville City Council, comprised of a Mayor and six Council members, maintains final authority over the City of Jonesville’s recreation programs and facilities. The City Council has tasked the Jonesville Planning Commission with the work of overseeing the development to the *City of Jonesville 2024 – 2028 Recreation Plan*. Recreational programs are currently administered by the Recreation Director, a function performed by the City Clerk as a part-time set of her responsibilities. Parks and recreation maintenance concerns are directed to the City Manager who administers the municipality’s Department of Public Works. The City may also utilize volunteers for specific projects as the need arises.

The following bodies are involved in the provision of municipal recreation:



### Sources of Funding

The City of Jonesville has identified several potential sources of revenue for their recreation facilities and programs:

- General tax fund dollars.
- Grants awarded for specific projects.
- Donations from the general citizenry and philanthropic organizations.

General tax fund dollars are used for the maintenance and programming of City parks and recreation facilities and the cost of producing this plan. Grants are generally awarded for the acquisition of parkland and the development of a park or recreational facility (e.g., trails, playgrounds, picnic shelters, sports facilities, etc.). Donations to be used at the discretion of the City or for a specific facility or program are also accepted. A portion of the local match required of most grants may also come from the general fund or donations.

Grants for parks and recreational facilities are likely to come from several sources:

- *Michigan (DNR) Department of Natural Resources:*

- MDNR Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund
  - MDNR Land and Water Conservation Fund<sup>1</sup>
- *Michigan (MDOT) Department of Transportation:*
  - MDOT Transportation Enhancement Program<sup>1</sup>
- *Hillsdale County Road Commission:*
  - One percent (1%) annual allocation of state transportation (Act 51) funds for non-motorized facilities
- *Parks and Recreation Budgeting:*
  - The parks and recreation budget for the City of Jonesville is used almost entirely for the maintenance and programming of its parks.
  - Capital outlays are also made for projects including the development of this Plan, the preparation of grant applications, and the planning and construction of new recreation facilities.
  - General fund allocations are made on an annual basis for sidewalk improvements.

Relationships with other recreation providers as the City is not the only supplier of parks and recreational opportunities to the residents of Jonesville:

- *Schools:*
  - Jonesville Community Schools is also a major supplier of parks and recreational opportunities to City residents.
  - The Hillsdale County Intermediate School District (which includes Jonesville Community Schools) provides educational services to residents and local school districts.




---


<sup>1</sup> This is a Federal program administered locally by the State of Michigan.

- *Local, County, State Government and Private Providers:*
  - Hillsdale County and other nearby cities and villages, as well as some townships, maintain parks.
  - The State of Michigan also provides a variety of recreational facilities in the form of state game, wildlife, and recreation areas; state historic parks; and state parks throughout the State (although none of those facilities are located in the City).
  - A variety of private entrepreneurs and quasi-public agencies also provide recreation facilities and programs in and around Jonesville.

Please refer to Chapter 3 for a more detailed description of recreation facilities in the Jonesville area.



 Jonesville Boundary  
 Jonesville Community Schools  
 Hillsdale Community Schools



**Region 2**  
**PLANNING COMMISSION**  
Serving Hillsdale, Jackson & Lenawee Counties

*This page intentionally blank.*



*City recreation equipment*

Appendix D Section 3

## **Recreation Plan: Recreation and Resource Inventories**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*



The recreation inventory is comprised of all the parks and recreation facilities and programs provided in the City of Jonesville, not just those owned and managed by the local units of government. The facilities and programs were identified through local knowledge and review of current and historic documents and maps.

### Local Parks and Recreation Facilities

The City of Jonesville provides a total 6.2 acres of parkland and 1.4 miles of rail trail to its residents. Located in the heart of downtown, Carl Fast Memorial Park functions as part of the Chicago Street (US-12) streetscape. Wright Street Park provides a variety of facilities for more active recreation. The cemetery also provides open space in Jonesville.

City of Jonesville				
	Name	Acres	Facilities	BFA
A	Carl Fast Memorial Park	1.4	Playgrounds; Picnic Tables & Shelter; Clock; Statue; and Benches	2
B	Wright Street Park	4.8	Tennis; Playgrounds; Picnic shelter with bathrooms; Basketball; Baseball; and connecting trail to the high school baseball fields	2
C	Jerry Russell Trail	N/A	A 1.4 mile-long 10-foot wide Multi-Use Recreational Pathway, including an Interpretive Sign, Benches, Trash/Recycling Receptacles, and Plantings	5

### Barrier-Free Access

It is important that the parks and recreation facilities operated by the City of Jonesville be accessible to people with disabilities. After all, 17.8 percent of all Jonesville residents had at least one disability in the year 2020. Accordingly, both of the city's parks and recreation facilities were also rated according to their level of barrier free access.<sup>1</sup> The number listed under the BFA column in those tables equates to the barrier-free rating listed below:

<sup>1</sup> The analysis of barrier free access was provided by the City of Jonesville, based upon its knowledge of the parks and understanding of barrier-free access. A professional analysis may produce different results.

### Barrier Free Access (BFA) Analysis

Key	Description
1 =	None of the facilities/park areas meet accessibility guidelines
2 =	Some of the facilities/park areas meet accessibility guidelines
3 =	Most of the facilities/park areas meet accessibility guidelines
4 =	The entire park meets accessibility guidelines
5 =	The entire park was developed/renovated using the principal of universal design

Jonesville Community Schools are the institutions of learning for all of Jonesville. However, as a popular schools of choice, children living outside of the district also attend Jonesville Community Schools. Consequently, Jonesville Community Schools is a major provider of recreation facilities to the City as well as its students and boosters.

The Williams Elementary campus contains a variety of outdoor facilities. The Jonesville High School campus contains practice fields for football and soccer. The middle and high schools share the athletic facilities adjacent to Jonesville Middle School. All three schools have gymnasiums and are located in Jonesville.

#### Jonesville Community Schools

	Name	Acres	Facilities
D	Williams Elementary	86.6	Playgrounds; Basketball; Gymnasium; & Track
E	Jonesville High School		Gymnasium & Football & Soccer Practice Fields
F	Jonesville Middle School	19.6	Gymnasium & Softball Field
G	Athletic Facilities		Football Field (lighted) & Baseball Field

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is also a provider of recreation facilities. MDOT maintains a rest area along M-99 in Jonesville known as Hill-Jo Bicycle Park. MDOT's Hill-Jo Trail, runs through Jonesville, Fayette Township, and the City of Hillsdale along M-99. Within Hillsdale, it connects to the Baw Beese Trail.

### Michigan Department of Transportation

	Name	Acres	Facilities
H	Hill-Jo Bicycle Park	0.2	Picnic Tables
I	Hill-Jo Trail	5 mi	Biking/Walking/Jogging

A number of private and quasi-public institutions also provide recreation facilities within or near the City. For example, the Mill Race Golf Course, the Way-Back-In Campground, and the Jonesville Eagles provide easy access to important active recreation facilities. A community theater, a museum, and a public library are also available.

### Private / Quasi-Public Facilities

	Name	Acres	Facilities
J	Jonesville District Library	<0.1	Summer Reading Program; Video Rentals; & a 28,000 Volume Library
K	Mill Race Golf Course	72.3	9-Hole Course; Driving Range; Putting Green; Pro-Shop, Snack Bar; & Leagues
L	Jonesville Eagles	12.8	4 Softball Fields; Snack Bar; & Clubhouse
M	Sauk Theater	1.3	Community Amateur Theater
N	Grosvenor House Museum	0.3	Group Tours; Craft Shows; & Holiday Celebrations
O	Way-Back-In Campground	84.5	Campsites (RV, Tent, Cabins); Playground; Basketball; Trails; and Canoe Rental

### Park Standards and Types

A park and recreation plan should also recognize and use appropriate park standards. The National Recreation and Parks Association (NPRA) recommends a minimum of 10 acres per 1,000 persons. This means that Jonesville should have 21-22 acres of parkland in order to meet the needs of the population in 2022. The combined parkland and recreational facilities offered by public, quasi-public and private institutions exceed that standard. According to the NRPA, school facilities generally function as community parks.


Recreational facilities located on school grounds generally function as community parks. The City’s Wright Street Park and Carl Fast Park function partially as community parks and partially as neighborhood parks. MDOT’s roadside park, in general, functions as a mini-park. The various private and quasi-public facilities have a community-wide or even a regional function.



**Grant-Assisted Parks and Recreation Facilities**

The following recreation grants were awarded to the City of Jonesville through the Michigan Department of Natural Resources.

Recreation Grant History			
Park Name	Proj. No.	Year	Usage
Wright Street Park	CM00-104	2000	The park is used on a daily basis
Jerry Russell Trail	TF13-037	2013	The trail was constructed during the summer of 2015



## Regional Parks and Recreation Facilities

A variety of other public agencies and private entrepreneurs also provide recreational opportunities to Jonesville residents.

### State Parks

The State of Michigan maintains a number of recreational facilities within the region. For example, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) maintains the Lost Nation, Somerset, and Adams State Game Areas in Hillsdale County. Additional state game and recreation areas and state parks are located in neighboring counties. The DNR also maintains nine (9) boat launches in Hillsdale County.

#### State of Michigan Recreational Facilities

##### State Parks

Cambridge Historical (Lenawee Co.)

Walter J. Hayes (Lenawee Co.)

##### State Game Areas

Adams (Mini) (Hillsdale Co.)

Sharonville (Jackson Co.)

Lost Nations (Hillsdale Co.)

Somerset (Hillsdale Co.)

Onsted (Lenawee Co.)

##### State Recreation Areas

Lake Hudson (Lenawee Co.)

Waterloo (Jackson Co.)

### County Parks

Hillsdale County also provides several regional recreational facilities which are accessible to Jonesville residents.

#### Hillsdale County Parks

Bird Lake (Jefferson Twp.)

Cambria (Cambria Twp.)

Gateway (Hillsdale Twp.)

Hemlock Beach (Reading Twp.)

Lewis Emery (Hillsdale Twp.)

Sugar Bush

Lewis Emery County Park, the nearest facility to Jonesville, contains ponds and fishing docks, a community center, covered picnic shelters, playground equipment, and nature trails. Bird Lake Park contains a swimming beach and boat launch. The County Fairgrounds are located nearby in Hillsdale.

**City of Hillsdale Parks**

The nearby City of Hillsdale also contains a variety of municipal parks. Together, they provide a wide variety of recreational facilities including: picnic areas, playgrounds and open areas, fishing access, a trail, and a variety of active recreational fields and courts.

City of Hillsdale Parks	
Baw Beese Trail	Cold Springs Park
Field of Dreams	Kee Moose Park
Sam LoPresto Park	Orville Myer Parkway
Owen Memorial Park	Sandy Beach
Stocks Park	Waterworks Park

**Other Local Parks**

Other local governments also provide parks and recreational facilities for their residents. Small cities and villages often provide a few recreation facilities. Townships may only provide one or two parks.

**Local Colleges**

Jonesville residents can also avail themselves of the learning opportunities and recreational facilities and programs provided by nearby colleges. Hillsdale College contains Slayton Arboretum, which is open to the public, and serves as a venue for a variety of collegiate sports. Jackson College (JC) maintains a local presence in Hillsdale County through its Clyde LeTarte Center.

**Private and Quasi-Public Recreation Facilities**

A wide variety of private entities also provide recreational facilities.

- **Golf Courses.** There are 16 golf courses within twenty miles of Jonesville, including the Mill Race Golf Course, according to [golflink.com](http://golflink.com).<sup>2</sup>
- **Bowling Alleys.** L & J Lanes is located on M-99 between Jonesville and Litchfield. The facility hosts pool tables, a game room and snack bar, softball fields, and sand volleyball pits in addition to bowling lanes. Hillside Lanes is located on M-99 in Hillsdale.
- **Other Recreation Facilities.** The Silos are located in Hillsdale along M-99. The Silos contains a video arcade, go-karts and bumper boats, miniature golf, and a driving range.
- **Shopping and Eating.** Many people enjoy shopping and going out to eat, making Downtown Jonesville a recreation destination with its restaurants and shops. The proximity of Jonesville to the commercial areas in Hillsdale and other nearby communities increases the opportunities for this type of activity.

### Sidewalks


Jonesville is a compact community with public sidewalks found on many of the City's streets, which allows for great accessibility for those that choose to walk or ride their bike. With lower volumes of traffic throughout most of the City's neighborhoods sidewalks allow safe transit on most streets providing a great source of recreation as well as a way to access all that Jonesville offers, including Wright Street Park and Carl Fast Park. The city sidewalks also connect with the Hill-Jo Trail, providing additional recreation, and non-motorized access to Hillsdale.

Please see Goal 3: Improve Pedestrian Connectivity with Additional or Improved Sidewalks and Nonmotorized Trails on page D5-21 for recommendations to improve connectivity.

---

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.golflink.com/golf-courses/course-directory.aspx>






In addition to the proposed sidewalk improvements the City of Jonesville is in the final stages of the Chicago Street (US 12) Road Diet Study which aims to improve pedestrian accessibility and safety along Chicago Street (US 12) through downtown Jonesville between Olds Street (M-99) and the Jonesville Middle School. On November 15, 2023 the Jonesville City Council passed a resolution supporting the Chicago Street (US 12) Road Diet with the following findings:

- Reducing the number of vehicular travel lanes through downtown Jonesville between Olds Street (M-99) and the Jonesville Middle School from five to three will improve both traffic and pedestrian safety
- The Chicago Street (US 12) Road Diet Study shows that increases in travel time will be minimal and that there will be benefits to traffic safety, pedestrian safety, and to downtown parking conditions
- The City and Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) are partnering and the City Council requests that MDOT implement the lane reduction, or Road Diet, reducing the number of travel lanes on Chicago Street (US 12) from five lanes to three lanes, made up of two travel lanes and a center turn lane when the next resurfacing occurs.
- The City Council requests that the additional space gained through the lane reduction be allocated to a buffered bike lane, used to improve use and access of the existing downtown parking and that can also be utilized by bicyclists traversing the Downtown.

The improved pedestrian and bicyclist safety resulting from the implementation of the road diet will increase connectivity throughout the city increasing the usage of non-motorized mobility options throughout and around the city, in particular the Jerry Russell Trail.



National and State Historical Sites and Heritage Routes

Jonesville contains two historic sites that have state and national significance.<sup>3</sup>

National and State Historical Sites				
Structure	Address/Location	Registers*		
Grace Episcopal Church	360 E. Chicago Street	NR	SR	HM
Grosvenor House	211 Maumee Street	NR	SR	HM
*NR = National Register ; SR = State Register; and HM = Historic Marker				



Grosvenor House Museum | photo courtesy of US 12 Heritage Trail (Southwest Mich. Planning Comm.)

<sup>3</sup> Michigan State Historic Preservation Office Historic City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

United States Highway 12 (US-12) was recognized as a Michigan Historic Heritage Route in 2004. The road began as a trail for mastodons and the Native Americans who hunted them approximately 10,000 years ago. The trail was converted into a federal highway—the second in the nation—in 1825.<sup>4</sup>

## Resource Inventory

Jonesville is home to a wide variety of cultural and natural resources.

## Recreational Programming

Jonesville and various institutions provide the following recreation programs to the general public.

Recreation Programs			
Program	Sponsor	Ages	Description
Youth Softball and Baseball	City of Jonesville	5-18	Softball and baseball programs are offered to City of Jonesville residents and non-residents. There are four girl, and five boy leagues.
Adult Beach Volleyball and Cornhole	L & J Lanes	16+	Regular League Play.
Sports Camps	Jonesville Community Schools	8-18	A variety of sports camps for girls and boys are held by Jonesville Community Schools each summer.
Bowling	L & J Lanes	All	Regular League Bowling.
Theater	Sauk Theater	All	Amateur Productions.
Soccer	AYSO	4-14	Soccer program for boys and girls offered to residents of Hillsdale County.

<sup>4</sup> The information about the heritage route was gathered from [www.us12heritagetrail.org](http://www.us12heritagetrail.org)  
City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition



*City youth recreation program | photo courtesy of City of Jonesville*

### **Jonesville Riverfest**

Riverfest is held annually on the 3rd weekend in May. The event, which began in 1974, “draws many people to [Jonesville] for the weekend with canoe races, [a] car show, music, food, and lots of fun” according to [www.jonesvilleriverfest.org](http://www.jonesvilleriverfest.org). Although Riverfest is nonprofit event, it would benefit from public access to the St. Joseph River within the city. A band shell located in or near Downtown Jonesville would also provide another music venue during the festival.

### **Soils**

The primary soil types within Jonesville are placed in the Fox-Boyer Association which is characterized as “nearly level to steep, very deep, well drained sandy loams and loamy sand outwash plains.”<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup> All information is adapted from the Soil Survey of Hillsdale County, Michigan. Table 10 of the Soil Survey provides imitations for the development of camp areas, picnic areas, playgrounds, and paths and trails.

## Water Resources

The St. Joseph Watershed covers the City of Jonesville. The St. Joseph River flows through the city south to north and the Jonesville Mill Pond is an impoundment of the river (please see the hydrology map). Wetlands are located primarily along the peripheries of local rivers and streams. Unfortunately, public access points to any of these important resources are not located in Jonesville.

## Topography

The lowest areas of Jonesville are along the St. Joseph River, especially near the northwest corner of the city (elevations of 1,049 feet). The high points of Jonesville are located in its southwestern corner (elevations of 1,197 feet) and south of Adams Road (elevation of 1,230 feet), southeast of the city.

## Land Use

Commercial uses are clustered along US-12 and M-99, south of that corridor. The majority of industrial uses are located in the southwest corner of the City of Jonesville. Residential uses of various densities occupy the remainder of Jonesville.

## Other Trail Planning Efforts


Several local, state, and national trail planning efforts also impact the Jonesville area:

- Headwaters Recreational Authority
- Iron Belle Trail
- North Country National Scenic Trail


Summaries of those efforts are located in Appendix D.


















Appendix D Section 4

## **Recreation Plan: Descriptions of the Planning and Public Input Processes**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*

### **Description of the Planning Process**

The development of the *City of Jonesville 2024-2028 Recreation Plan* included the following steps:

- The City of Jonesville recognized the need to update their Recreation Plan
- The City of Jonesville contracted with Region 2 Planning Commission to assist the Jonesville Planning Commission in the development of the 2024-2028 edition of the Recreation Plan
- The City of Jonesville Planning Commission conducted a survey of Jonesville Area residents, business owners, and park and recreation consumers
- Region 2 staff updated the community description; administrative structure; recreation and resource inventories; and descriptions of the planning and public input processes chapters of the Plan, including revised mapping, and reported the findings to the City of Jonesville Planning Commission
- The City of Jonesville Planning Commission utilized the input collected from the various public involvement efforts and the information produced by Region 2 staff to revise the goals and objectives and action program chapters of the Plan
- The City of Jonesville Planning Commission provided the Plan for public review from December 15, 2023 through January 15, 2024.
- The City of Jonesville Planning Commission held a public hearing on January 16, 2024 approving the Plan and recommended adoption by the City Council.
- The City Council adopted the Recreation Plan on January 17, 2024.



*Photo of Wright Street Park Public Input Session*

### **Description of the Public Input Process**

A variety of methods were employed to incorporate public input into the development of this Joint Recreation Plan:

- *City of Jonesville Planning Commission Meetings:*

All meetings of the planning commission are open to the public.

- *Public Input Session:*

The City of Jonesville Planning Commission and Region 2 Planning Commission staff planned and hosted a public input session at Wright Street Park on October 25, 2022, where they presented potential improvements for the park to community residents. The event consisted of five stations where Jonesville Planning Commission members and Region 2 staff fielded questions from residents about the recreation planning process and provided index cards so that participants could share their opinion on

proposed park improvements they would like to see. Eighteen comment cards were completed during the session. The responses from the public input session can be summarized as follows (please see Appendix B for a complete list of responses):

- *Public Input Responses:* The top responses from the public input session were:

- Fourteen people mentioned the potential addition of a dog park to Wright Street Park, making it the most popular suggestion, although two of the responses were not in favor of it.
- The need for more, or improved, parking at Wright Street Park was mentioned twelve times. Twelve people also mentioned the band shell as a potential addition to the park, although one of the responses was not in favor of it.
- Eleven suggestions were offered for a splash pad as well as for new, more, or improved or renovated playground equipment for the park.
- Pickleball was mentioned nine times, with six people offering it as a replacement for the Wright Street Park tennis courts and three people opposed to adding pickleball courts.
- Improved connectivity through the addition of new nonmotorized walking trails or sidewalks was suggested eight times.
- Additional suggestions from the public input session, each mentioned three times, included:
  - The need to resolve the restroom situation at Wright Street Park.
  - Update or expand the basketball courts.
  - Little free libraries at Wright Street Park and Carl Fast Park.
  - Beach volleyball.

- *Recreation Survey:*

An online survey was conducted throughout October and November 2022, supplemented by paper surveys. Using the responses from the previous Jonesville & Fayette Township Joint Recreation Plan as a supplement for general recreation survey questions, this survey was focused on pedestrian/bicycling behaviors to better understand non-motorized recreation within the city. The 146 responses can be summarized as follows (please see Appendix B for complete survey results):

- *The Respondents:* A good cross-section of Jonesville's (and beyond) population was represented:
  - Approximately 44 percent of respondents were patrons of City parks and recreation programs and approximately 85 percent were Jonesville (66%) or Hillsdale County (19%) residents.
  - Forty-one percent of respondents represented the age groups, 35-44 years old (26%) and 44-54 years old (15%), most likely to have children participating in the City's youth recreation programs. Approximately 22 percent of respondents were under the age of 18 and 25 percent were 55 years or older, both demographics who might require particular focus when planning recreation opportunities.
- *Visiting Area Parks, Pedestrian & Biking Patterns:* Most respondents were active area parks and recreation consumers:
  - Thirty-six percent of survey respondents reported visiting an area park more than 15 times in the past year and an additional 54 percent visited a park between one and twelve times during the same time period.
  - Respondents reported regularly walking and/or biking in Jonesville, with 37 percent choosing to walk or bike more than 15 times in the last year. Fifty-three percent chose to walk or bike at least once a month.
  - Exercise (73%) was the top reason given for walking or biking, with leisure (68%) and socializing (38%) also ranking highly.
- *Pedestrian and Biking Infrastructure Needs:* The consensus of respondents was that the City should concentrate on improving existing, and adding new, pedestrian infrastructure:
  - More than two-thirds of respondents (68%) felt that more multi-use paths would increase pedestrian activity in the City, while sixty-one percent thought additional sidewalks, or improvements to existing sidewalks, would be beneficial. Survey respondents also made note of potential roadway safety changes that would benefit pedestrian connectivity with twenty-nine percent citing additional road crossing opportunities or improvements and 26 percent mentioning a desire for traffic calming to slow vehicle speeds.
  - Undesirable, or lack of, facilities and paths (45%), poor surface conditions (35%), and unsafe crossings (28%) were all identified as obstacles that prevent respondents from walking or biking within the City.
  - Approximately 68 percent of respondents stated that they would walk and/or bike more if the identified obstacles were addressed and improvements were implemented. Only five percent responded that, even with these issues addressed or improvements in place, they would not increase their pedestrian activity.



- *Jonesville Recreation Programs:* Youth softball and baseball programs are important elements of the City's recreation program:
  - Thirty percent of respondents had a member of their household participating in these programs, although this survey was administered when the softball and baseball programs were not in season.

- *Stakeholder Interviews:*

Fifteen community stakeholders were identified for interviews regarding parks and recreation facilities and programming in Jonesville. Seven stakeholder interviews were conducted in April and May 2023 covering fourteen questions. A list of invited stakeholders along with anonymous responses can be found in Appendix B. Among the highlights:

- *Jonesville Youth Softball and Baseball Programs:*
  - Interviewees spoke very highly of these programs but there was a recognition that the fields could use some updating or even the addition of a third field due to the heavy use of the existing fields.
- *Improvements to Wright Street Park:*
  - Redevelopment of the tennis courts at Wright Street Park was discussed with interviewees offering up pickleball, permanent concrete cornhole boards, and parking as potential uses for that section of the park.
  - Improved parking at Wright Street Park was mentioned frequently by interviewees.
  - Other changes to the park mentioned by the stakeholders were the addition of a dog park and improved nonmotorized trails through the park and the City.
- *Nonmotorized Trails:*
  - Interviewees were in favor of more and improved nonmotorized trails throughout the community.
  - Adding bodyweight exercise stations to a segment of trails, specifically in Wright Street Park, was suggested.

### One Month Review Period

The *City of Jonesville 2024-2028 Recreation Plan* was submitted for public comment beginning on December 15, 2023 and ending on January 15, 2024. The plan was available for review in the City offices as well as the Jonesville District Library. The Recreation Plan was also available for review online at [www.jonesville.org](http://www.jonesville.org). Members of the City of Jonesville Planning Commission briefed local civic organizations during the comment period. No comments were submitted to the City of Jonesville Planning Commission.

### Public Hearing


A public hearing on the *City of Jonesville 2024-2028 Recreation Plan* was held on January 16, 2024 at 7:00 pm in City Hall, during a meeting of the City of Jonesville Planning Commission. The hearing took place prior to the vote on the resolution recommending adoption of the Plan by the Jonesville City Council. Two comments were made during the public hearing.

### Hillsdale County and the Region 2 Planning Commission

The City must provide a copy of the *City of Jonesville 2024-2028 Recreation Plan* to Hillsdale County and the Region 2 Planning Commission. The Plan was transmitted to them on January 19, 2024.



*Public Input Session | photo courtesy of City of Jonesville*



*Photo courtesy of Way Back In Campground and Jennie Prater*

#### Appendix D Section 5

## **Recreation Plan: Goals and Objectives**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*

## **Goal and Objectives**

The goals and objectives described in this chapter are the result of community input gathered through public meetings, surveys, and stakeholder interviews and focus on three key areas: Wright Street Park, Carl Fast Park, and increased connectivity through a prioritization of sidewalk improvements and installations and nonmotorized trail development.

### **Goal 1 – Improvements to Wright Street Park**

The overwhelming majority of public feedback centered on potential improvements to Wright Street Park, which served as the basis to formulate the objectives for this goal. A recommended Concept Plan has been developed, and is illustrated on the following page, that would allow park improvements to be accomplished in a phased approach, planned on a regular basis through the City's budgeting and Capital Improvement Planning processes.

Opportunities could also be sought for larger grants to accomplish multiple improvements in fewer phases, or to consider implementation of larger recreation improvements. This planning approach allows for flexibility in implementation, partnering with community organizations, while addressing community desires for park improvements.

Implementation of the Concept Plan focuses on the following basic improvements to Wright Street Park:

- Creation of an off-leash dog park
- Improvements to parking
- Creation of an entertainment pavilion or band shell
- Improved playground equipment
- Trails for recreation and access
- Installation of pickleball courts
- Additional and updated park amenities

The Concept Plan shows approximate areas for improvements. Additional engineering and study will refine these locations, based on topography, utility locations, community preferences and other considerations.



## Wright Street Park Concept Plan



The following graphic will serve as a guide for the location of potential improvements to Wright Street Park.



- Parcel A: current unpaved parking for Wright Street Park (0.53 acres).
- Parcel B: owned by Jonesville Community Schools, there is potential to collaborate with the school for future parking on this site (0.54 acres).
- Parcel C: former location of the tennis courts and current location of the basketball court (0.50 acres).
- Parcel D: current location of playground equipment (0.16 acres).
- Parcel E: directly west of the existing park shelter (0.25 acres).
- Parcel F: between the park's ball diamonds and the Jonesville Community Schools football field (1.33 acres).
- Parcel G: the "Drake" parcel may be donated for creation of a community dog park (1.0 acre).

***Objective 1.1: Create an Off Leash Dog Park on the “Drake” Property (parcel G)***

Thanks to a very generous donation by the property owner, one acre of land will be added to Wright Street Park with the stipulation that the property be utilized as a dog park.

- The creation of a dog park at Wright Street Park was mentioned 14 times during the gathering of public input for the recreation plan project, more than any other desired improvement.
- The creation of nature trails was also mentioned numerous times as a desired improvement. Given the wooded characteristics of parcel G it appears to lend itself to the creation of this type of trail. As a result, the feasibility of developing nature trails on this parcel, in addition to the dog park, should be explored.
- *Case Study: Cass City (MI) Bark Park*
  - An unused plot in the village’s municipal park system, the Cass City Bark Park, calls for a 100’ x 150’ large dog area and a 40’ x 90’ small dog area, each with a double-gated entry for safe transfer in and out of the park. The fenced area will extend into the woods for shade. Also planned are benches, waste baskets, agility equipment, and water station.
  - The half-acre Cass City Bark Park had an initial budget of \$28,000 and sought to raise \$14,000 through Michigan Economic Development Corporation’s (MEDC) Public Spaces Community Places program to access an additional \$14,000 in matching funds. The project raised a total of \$18,010, exceeding its initial goal, successfully securing its MEDC matching funds. The Cass City Bark Park was scheduled to open in summer 2023.

***Objective 1.2: Improve the Parking at Wright Street Park (parcel A)***

Although demand for parking at Wright Street Park is at its peak between Memorial Day and July 4 and during football season improving the parking and/or adding additional parking at the Park was mentioned 12 times during the gathering of public input, making it the second most requested improvement.

- The Concept Plan shows that paving the existing unpaved area on Parcel A would provide 71 on-site parking spaces. Paved and marked spaces would help to improve efficiency and utilization of the existing paved area. Reconfiguration of the entry drive should be studied to determine the feasibility of adding 10-15 additional parking spaces.

The University of Tennessee’s Institute of Agriculture’s UT Extension CPA Info #222: “Estimating the Number of Parking Spaces per Acre” estimates the following regarding parking spaces per acre:

- The typical parking space is 180 square feet (10’x18’)



- A one acre (43,560 sf) rectangular parcel utilizing six (6) rows of parking with 180 sq. ft. spaces and 24-foot (two-way) drive lanes will produce approximately 150 parking spaces.
- A one acre parcel with obstacles (trees, etc.) or imperfections will yield approximately 90 parking spaces.

Improvements to the parking at Wright Street Park should focus primarily on Parcel A where parking is currently provided for park patrons. Utilizing the University of Tennessee's metrics for parking indicates the following:

- Parcel B, with trails currently traversing it, is owned by Jonesville Community Schools could potentially be available to the City for park improvements, including parking. However, applying the University of Tennessee parking metrics for parcels with obstacles or imperfections given its dense tree cover results in the ability to potentially create 49 parking spaces on its 0.54 acres.
- Parcel C, covering 0.50 acres in a rectangular shape, is the site of the former tennis courts and current basketball courts. To utilize this area as parking the basketball courts will need to be relocated, and potential future recreation areas reconsidered or eliminated. Using the parking metrics described above would yield an additional 75 parking spaces.

Given the condensed time frames when parking is truly an issue at Wright Street Park serious consideration should be given to the cost versus benefit in financial terms of creating additional parking, as well as the aesthetic considerations for converting Parcel B and to a lesser extent Parcel C to parking. In addition, sidewalk extensions could be considered from the public street into Wright Street Park to improve access to on-street parking adjacent to the park.

***Objective 1.3: Create an Entertainment Pavilion/Band Shell (Parcel F)***

Maximizing the utilization of parcel F's 1.33 acres affords the greatest opportunity to reimagine Wright Street Park. A performance band shell has been mentioned frequently in conversations about potential improvements to the park, in fact it was one of the most popular requests during the public input process with twelve mentions. Parcel F is the most logical location given its size and the footprint required to properly site a band shell.



- **Case Study: Village of Quincy (MI) Entertainment Pavilion**

- The Village of Quincy developed an entertainment pavilion in its downtown park 10-12 years prior to the preparation of this Plan. The Village utilized local contractors to complete the concrete block base and concrete flatwork for the stage. In addition, a local fabricator manufactured the steel connectors for the timber-frame structure. The timber structure, walls, and roof were built by Village staff.
- The total project cost at the time of construction was approximately \$50,000 and would reasonably be assumed to be as much as \$100,000 today. Those costs do not include trail extensions for access or extending electricity within the Park to the project site.



Given the wide variety of band shell options regarding size, style, location, and materials, there can be considerable variation in construction costs for this kind of structure. Based on the case study, a modest band shell can be reasonably assumed to be in the range of \$100,000 to \$250,000. It is possible that the City will have a partner associated with this amenity. A local service group is considering fundraising and seeking sponsorships to offset some or all of the costs.

**Objective 1.4: New, Improved, or Refurbished Playground Equipment (Parcel D and Parcel E)**

Adding additional playground equipment and refurbishing the existing equipment, where necessary, were popular responses (eleven) during the public input process. There is a base of more recently installed equipment, like the apparatus resembling a boat and a climbing wall that are in condition to be retained. Some of the more vintage equipment, like the swings and the often-used merry-go-round might be candidates for refurbishment. This is an easy, relatively low-cost, objective that can be accomplished in the near term. There are some older pieces that are due for removal, making room for additional new pieces. The existing playground location within Wright Street Park (Parcel D) has the size, 0.16 acre, to accommodate additional equipment. The adjoining Parcel E (0.25 acres) could also accommodate new equipment.



Like band shells, playground equipment can vary widely in costs depending upon the nature of the equipment, size of the area, ground surfacing materials, and the like. Nearby case studies in the City of Litchfield help to provide some context for costs of playground improvements in Wright Street Park.

- *Case Study: City of Litchfield (MI) Purdy Playground*
  - Completed in 2017, Purdy Playground is designed to provide equipment for toddlers aged 2 years to 5 years, and includes universal design principles for users with disabilities and mobility issues. In addition to play equipment, the park features a synthetic foam base designed for the intended user group.
  - The playground covers approximately 0.33 acres and cost approximately \$400,000.





- **Case Study: City of Litchfield (MI) Simpson Park**

- The City of Litchfield is currently in the design stage for a new playground installation at Simpson Park. The site would include climbing features, slides, swings, and barrier-free installations. Synthetic surface materials would be featured, as well.
- The project area covers 0.12 acres. The current construction estimate is \$300,000.



It is recommended that the City obtain the services of a playground designer to assist in an overall plan for the Wright Street Park playground. Through the process, the feasibility of refurbishment and incorporation of some existing equipment into a design that overhauls the playground area can be evaluated. Based on the case studies and the probability that some equipment can be refurbished, a conservative cost estimate would be in the range of \$200,000 to \$300,000.

**Objective 1.5: Wright Street Park Trails (Parcels A, B, C, D, E, F, G)**

Walking, preferably along trails and paths, continues to be the most universally desired recreational activity in the country. The desire for trails throughout Wright Street Park was mentioned eight times during the public input process. The feasibility of developing a trail loop throughout the park should be explored further in combination with expanding and enhancing the trails currently found in parcel B.

- **Case Study: City of Jackson (MI) MLK Equality Trail**

- The City of Jackson recently resurfaced with concrete and widened, to a width of twelve feet, a one-half mile section of the MLK Equality Trail through the City. The cost for that project was \$144.13 per lineal foot for the 12-ft wide concrete shared use, non-motorized trail.
- Other representative less expensive trail surface options, for a twelve foot wide path, include crushed stone at \$53.19 per lineal foot and asphalt at \$100.76 per lineal foot.

The Wright Street Park Concept Plan shows three different trails. The blue trail is a 0.2 mile, five foot wide trail. Cutting the price per foot described above in half to account for the narrower trail width results in a trail development cost range of \$76,100 for concrete, \$53,200 for asphalt, and \$28,100 for crushed stone to implement the blue trail. The red trail shown on the concept plan is 0.1 mile in length with a width of ten feet. Applying the representative costs above to this trail given their similar widths results in an approximate trail development cost range of \$76,100 for concrete, \$53,200 for asphalt, and \$28,100 crushed stone which are identical to the blue trail approximate costs.

Another possibility for developing an expanded trail network throughout Wright Street Park would be to explore the feasibility and compatibility of extending trails through the “Drake” property, which is the proposed site of the dog park. This trail is shown as the green trail on the Wright Street Park Concept Plan and is 0.1 mile and five feet wide. Approximate development costs for this trail range from \$38,000 for concrete, \$26,600 for asphalt, and \$14,100 for crushed stone. Assuming trails and a dog park are compatible this option should be explored to provide an option for nature trails in Wright Street Park.

**Objective 1.6: Install Pickleball Courts (Parcel C)**

Pickleball is the fastest growing recreational activity amongst the forty-five and older population and continues to grow in general popularity. It is currently estimated that 4.8 million people play pickleball in the United States with a growth rate of 14.8 percent from 2020 to 2021, which followed a 21.3 percent growth rate between 2019 and 2020. Within the Region 2 area Jackson County is converting tennis courts at Sparks Park to eight pickleball courts with the capacity to add an additional six courts if demand merits. The County is also considering a proposal to develop an indoor pickleball facility at Keeley Park, formerly known as the Jackson County Fairgrounds. Interest in pickleball is also evident in Jonesville as pickleball courts were requested six times during the recreation plan public input process. Key components of a pickleball complex include:

- Court Base: should be concrete and installed over 4” of sand for moisture drainage.
- Lighting: large courts could be equipped with one 1,000 watt quartz fixture at each corner. Smaller courts can be well lighted with two 1500 watt quartz fixtures.

- Court Surface Finish: the most common finish is called 'Plexi-Pave' which consists of a gritty material.
- Fencing: should be 10' high around the perimeter of the overall facility.

The play area of a pickleball court is 30' x 60' which encompasses the standard court of 20' x 44'. Since each individual court is 1,800 square feet a two court complex would require 3,600 square feet, or 0.08 acre, and would fit comfortably within the footprint of parcel C's 0.50 acre size while still leaving space for renovated basketball courts. Following installation, the popularity can be evaluated to consider expansion for additional courts or installation of the options described in Objective 1.8 on the same parcel.

The accepted industry cost for concrete pickleball court construction in 2020 was \$40,000 per court. This included the concrete base, color coating (Plexi-Pave), lines, nets, fencing, and lighting. Factoring price increases since that time, it is assumed a four court pickleball complex could be built for \$80,000 - \$100,000. Annual maintenance costs for such a facility should be anticipated at \$2,500 to \$4,000.



**Objective 1.7: Improve, Expand, or Relocate Wright Street Park Basketball Courts (Parcel C)**

The most cost effective solution for improved basketball courts at Wright Street Park would be to renovate the existing courts located in the southern portion of parcel C.



The two most logical improving and/or expanding the basketball courts at Wright Street Park are:

- *Option A:* this option would be the development of one 94' x 50' regulation court with an additional 30' x 30' shooting court and a 35' x 45' three-on-three court with the additional courts laid out in a stacked manner next to the regulation court. Such a layout would encompass an overall hard surface area of 104' x 100' resulting in a total area of 10,400 square feet of hard surface at an overall cost (\$10/sf) of \$104,000 utilizing concrete as the surface material. Again polycarbonate is the preferred goal material and this layout would require four goals at a cost of \$8,000 (\$2,000 each) bringing the total anticipated material cost, without any labor, but with a small contingency to \$115,000 for this option.
- *Option B:* this option would be the development of two 94' x 50' regulation courts laid out in a side-by-side manner with five feet of additional hard surface along the exterior of each side of the courts and ten feet of hard surface between each court. Assuming concrete (\$10/sf) is utilized as the hard surface the surfacing cost for two courts (12,480 sf) would result in \$124,800 in surfacing costs alone. Asphalt could be a less expensive surface to explore. Two courts would require four goals, with polycarbonate the preferred material, at a cost of \$2,000 each, although less expensive options are available. Total anticipated material cost, without any labor, but with a small contingency is assumed at \$135,000.00.

- **Additional Recommendation:** It is recommended that lighting be provided for the basketball courts in either option described above. Lighting costs vary depending on the number, style, and type of lighting preferred but a rough estimate would be for these costs to range between \$50,000 and \$150,000.00.
- **Siting Recommendation:** Options A (0.238 acres) and B (0.286 acres) for new basketball court development are similar in size resulting in a wide variety of siting locations within Wright Street Park depending on what additional amenities are desired for the improvement of the park overall. The Concept Plan calls for development of Option A, but B could be considered where there is limited demand for alternate amenities in Parcel C, or additional funding or partners are identified for a larger improvement. Recommend siting, in order of preference, for either Option A or Option B would be parcel C (0.50 acres); parcel F (1.33 acres); or parcel E (0.25 acres), which would only work for the layout described in Option B.

**Objective 1.8: Update Existing Amenities and Consider Additional Amenities (Parcels A, B, C, D, E, F)**

The existing site amenities within Wright Street Park are showing evidence of age and disrepair and should be addressed. There is also the opportunity to add smaller scale additional amenities that can serve as activators without compromising the passive integrity of the park.

- **Objective 1.8.1: Update Existing Amenities**

A simple upgrade to Wright Street Park is to replace the existing site amenities such as benches, trash receptacles and picnic tables that are showing their age or are in disrepair. It is recommended that a simple inventory and replacement schedule be developed for these amenities, focusing on replacing the ones in the worst condition first. A preferred style and model should be determined for the new site amenities to maintain a cohesive feel and look within the park.

- **Objective 1.8.2: Add Concrete Cornhole Boards**

A relatively low cost improvement for Wright Street Park that came through the public input process was for the addition of concrete cornhole boards. The cost for a pair of concrete cornhole boards is \$1,325.00 and a typical cornhole “court” is 8’ wide x 40’ in length with a distance of 27 feet between the front edge of each board for competitions. Given the relatively modest cost and dimensions for one cornhole court it is recommended the City pursue the development of a four court arrangement for cornhole at Wright Street Park. Assuming an overall area of 56’ wide by 50’ feet in length (2,800 square feet or 0.064 acre) would accommodate four cornhole courts with each court eight feet wide by forty feet in length with six feet in space between each court and three feet of additional surface on the outside of each outer court and an additional five feet beyond the playing surface at each end of all the courts. Total cost for four pairs of cornhole boards in this scenario would be \$5,300.00. There appear to be many options for playing surface so one was not specified, although most appear to be relatively low cost. Labor costs for preparation of the courts was also not estimated but it is assumed that installation, including cornhole boards, for four cornhole courts would be in the range of \$10,000.00 - \$15,000.00.



- *Siting Recommendation: Given the small amount of area (0.064 acre) required to site four cornhole courts in the manner described above it is recommended that they be sited at parcel E (0.25 acre) or parcel F (1.33 acres).*



- **Objective 1.8.3: Add Little Free Library to Wright Street Park**

Another relatively simple addition to Wright Street Park that was mentioned multiple times in the public input process was the addition of one or more Little Free Libraries to the park. Costs for prefabricated structures range between \$250.00 and \$500.00 each so it is assumed two such libraries could be installed in Wright Street Park for a total cost of between \$500.00 and \$1,000.00. It is also possible to partner with local entities that may be willing to take on the project such as the industrial arts program at Jonesville High School, an Eagle Scout Project, or even the local library. The most logical locations for a Little Free Library would be near the driveway entrance off Wright Street or near the shelter area of the park.



**Objective 1.9: Additional Improvements and Additions to Consider (Parcels C, E, F)**

The Concept Plan calls for modest improvements to Parcel C (0.50 acres), beginning with reconstruction of a larger basketball court and the installation of two pickleball courts. Additional recreation space will remain and may be evaluated for future use. This might include expansion for additional pickleball courts, a second basketball court, or addition of some additional recreational courts and fields described below. As the Concept Plan is implemented, Parcel E (0.25 acres) and parcel F (1.33 acres) might also be considered for these additional improvements and/or additions to Wright Street Park:

- **Objective 1.9.1: Beach Volleyball Courts**

The four (4) beach volleyball courts shown in this picture from Lebanon (IN) Memorial Park are double-loaded two by two with an overall area measuring 15,000 square feet (150' L x 100' W).



Developing two courts, each 30' W x 60' L, in a side-by-side manner for Wright Street Park would require an overall area of 100' W x 75' L (.172 acre) with sand one foot deep. Building the courts would require 278 yards or 445 tons of sand at an estimated cost of \$11,125. Beach volleyball nets cost an average of \$3,000 each, so from a materials perspective installation of two beach volleyball courts for Wright Street Park would have an anticipated cost of \$17,125 plus labor. Two beach volleyball courts as described above require a total site of 0.172 acres meaning parcel E (0.25 acres); parcel A (0.50 acres); or parcel F (1.33 acres) in that order would be ideal locations within Wright Street Park for their installation.

- **Objective 1.9.2: Fitness Stations**

A trail loop throughout the park will logically meander through parcel F, which given its open space affords the opportunity to implement fitness stations along that section of potential new trail. Such a development, the Rotary Fitness Park, is underway in Hillsdale and includes a 6,400 square foot FitCore extreme fitness area and a 2,650 square foot HealthBeat fitness station area. The cost for the FitCore extreme fitness equipment is approximately \$110,000 while the HealthBeat fitness station equipment is \$66,000. Total development costs for the two fitness areas at the Rotary Fitness Park is approximately \$253,000 which includes installation and contingencies. The cost for implementing such an amenity with an expanded trail network through Wright Street Park would likely necessitate grant funding and require a longer term implementation schedule and does not include the cost of the trail itself.







### **Goal 2: Update Carl Fast Memorial Park**

Carl Fast Memorial Park is a passive park on the eastern edge of downtown Jonesville with a quiet, serene feel given the abundance of large shade trees despite, its location on US 12 and the large volume of vehicular traffic the road carries. The updates needed to this park are minimal in nature and should be relatively low cost and easily actionable within in a more immediate time frame than those at Wright Street Park. Goals for updating Carl Fast Memorial Park will generally focus on:

- Increasing usage and pleasure people get from the park by updating equipment and benches
- Keeping the space popular as a place for meeting and relaxation



Basic information for each of the Carl Fast Park parcels identified in the above graphic:

- Parcel A: approximate location of existing playground equipment to be renovated.
- Parcel B: primary location of existing amenities.
- Parcel C: potential location for addition of concrete cornhole boards.
- Parcel D: potential location(s) for the addition of one or more Little Free Library.
- Parcel E: potential location of an outdoor gas fire pit.
- Parcel F: proposed location at the corner of Evans and Chicago Streets for an entry feature to Carl Fast Park.





***Objective 2.1: Renovate Playground Equipment at Carl Fast Park***

Given the overall passive nature of the park there is no need to add additional playground equipment to Carl Fast Park, however the existing equipment should be refurbished and preserved due its whimsical nature which adds uniqueness to the space.

***Objective 2.2: Update Existing Amenities and Add Additional Appropriate Amenities***

The existing site amenities within Carl Fast Park are showing evidence of age and disrepair and should be addressed. There is also the opportunity to add smaller scale additional amenities that can serve as activators without compromising the passive integrity of the park.

- **Objective 2.2.1: Update Existing Amenities**

A simple upgrade to Carl Fast Park is to replace the existing site amenities such as benches, trash receptacles and picnic tables that are showing their age or are in disrepair. It is recommended that a simple inventory and replacement schedule be developed for these amenities, focusing on replacing the ones in the worst condition first. A preferred style and model should be determined for the new site amenities to maintain a cohesive feel and look within the park.



- **Objective 2.2.2: Add Concrete Cornhole Boards**

A relatively low cost improvement for Carl Fast Park that came through the public input process was for the addition of concrete cornhole boards. The cost for a pair of concrete cornhole boards is \$1,325.00 and a typical cornhole “court” is 8’ wide x 40’ in length with a distance of 27 feet between the front edge of each board for competitions. Similar to what has been proposed at Wright Street Park, given the relatively modest cost and dimensions for one cornhole court it is recommended the City pursue the development of a two court arrangement for cornhole at Carl Fast Park. Assuming an overall area of 30’ wide by 50’ feet in length (1,500 square feet) would accommodate two cornhole courts



with each court eight feet wide by forty feet in length with six feet in space between each court and four feet of additional surface on the outside of each outer court and an additional five feet beyond the playing surface at each end of the courts. Total cost for two pairs of cornhole boards in this scenario would be \$2,650.00. There appear to be many options for playing surface so one was not specified, although most appear to be relatively low cost. Labor costs for preparation of the courts was also not estimated but it is assumed that installation, including cornhole boards, for two cornhole courts would be in the range of \$5,000.00 - \$7,500.00.



- **Objective 2.2.3: Add Little Free Library to Carl Fast Park**

Another relatively simple addition to Carl Fast Park that was mentioned multiple times in the public input process was the addition of one or more Little Free Libraries to the park. Costs for prefabricated structures range between \$250.00 and \$500.00 each so it is assumed two such libraries could be installed in Carl Fast Park for a total cost of between \$500.00 and \$1,000.00. . It is also possible to partner with local entities that may be willing to take on the project such as the industrial arts program at Jonesville High School, an Eagle Scout Project, or even the local library. The most logical locations for a Little Free Library would be southeast and southwest corners of the park.






- **Objective 2.2.4: Add An Outdoor Gas Fire Pit**

A more involved addition to Carl Fast Park that was mentioned by the planning commission was the addition of an outdoor gas fire pit. Costs for a fire pit range from \$4,000 to \$70,000 could be installed in Carl Fast Park. The wide range of price depends on what is installed as well as what type of supporting amenities that may be included from new pavement to permanent seating, as well as budget for safety measures. This does not include the ongoing cost of fuel and maintenance.



***Objective 2.3: Creation of a Carl Fast Park Entry Feature with Landscaping***

The corner of Chicago and Evans Streets is the main entry point for Carl Fast Park from the downtown Jonesville business district and as such deserves a grand entry treatment to signal ones arrival to an oasis of respite within the heart of the city. An arched entry, similar to the downtown alley archway located further west along Chicago Street, would create a landmark and meeting point. The use of sponsored brick pavers can add an intimate feel and help offset the cost of construction. The design can echo existing metalwork or create a new identity for the location. It will also assist in getting people to interact more with the park and improve pedestrian connectivity, it could even become a destination in itself for photographs and painting. Rather than a simple sidewalk, the addition of an architectural feature with complimentary landscaping will add a sense of place to Carl Fast Park and downtown Jonesville.



### **Goal 3: Improve Pedestrian Connectivity with Additional or Improved Sidewalks and Nonmotorized Trails**

Improving pedestrian connectivity through new and improved sidewalks and nonmotorized trails was mentioned frequently in the public input process. This has also been a long-term goal identified in previous versions of the Jonesville & Fayette Township Joint Recreation Plan. The following objectives have been identified to improve connectivity throughout the community:

#### ***Objective 3.1: Update and Add Sidewalks for Improved Pedestrian Connectivity***

An analysis of the existing sidewalk network has been undertaken as part of this plan and has prioritized and identified areas of the city most in need of connectivity upgrades and investment as the sidewalk and nonmotorized systems extend throughout the community.

The City of Jonesville enjoys a strong sense of walkability, especially in the downtown area, as a majority of the City's sidewalks are in good condition providing nice connectivity throughout the community. Still, some improvements could be made to increase the safety and usability of the City's sidewalks. The best, and most cost-effective, improvements would be lining crosswalks in the road, and making clear connections between the sidewalk and the road at the appropriate place to facilitate easy and convenient crossings. A few sections of sidewalk are in need of concrete repair and more where vegetation needs to be cleared.

- **Sidewalks on one side of the street.** A number of streets that only have sidewalks on one side. These are predominantly found in the residential areas of the City and is not a problem as they do not appear to experience heavy car or foot traffic and it would be unnecessary to add sidewalks to both sides of the street.
- **Additional crosswalks.** A number of intersections have no markings providing a crossing or the old crosswalk lines have faded over the years. The simple addition of crosswalks would add to the safety and convenience as both pedestrians and drivers could clearly see where to cross the street. There are several intersections around town where these should be added to provide better and safer access specifically areas near the schools and parks – places with the heaviest foot and bike traffic. Crosswalks are recommended for the following locations:
  - Willow and Evans – no crosswalk exists at crossing
  - Wright and Willow – needs crossing
  - North and Wright – needs crossing
  - East and Church – needs crossing
  - South and East – needs crossing
  - North and Wright – crossing but no markings
  - Wright and Village – no markings, needs crosswalk to park
  - Chicago between Wright and Oak needs school signs for crosswalk outside middle school
  - East and Liberty – crosswalk switches sides of the street, needs crosswalk markings
  - West and Liberty – needs crossing, sidewalk could possibly be extended to golf course

- **Add curb ramps.** In many places, sidewalks end before the curb or do not connect to all the necessary curbs for safe crossing. The addition of curb ramps where needed will help control the flow of people, as well as make access easier for many.
- **Sidewalk repair and cleaning.** Sidewalks need to be repaired or cleaned up in some locations. Over time, the concrete has degraded and needs replaced, or pavement sections have shifted, leaving large rises that are a tripping hazard. While most of these are not major problems, if left unattended, they will become dangerous and could require costly repair. This also includes simple landscaping that would clear the sidewalk and effectively show its true width where they have become overgrown. Sidewalk repair and cleaning are recommended for the following locations:
  - South of North and Wright Streets – intersection on west side has a rough escalation
  - Chicago between Wright and Oak – rough in spots, bush growing across sidewalk just past Oak on Chicago
  - Chicago between Walnut and Jermain – needs concrete repairs

Beyond the aforementioned repairs and upgrades to increase the usability of the existing sidewalk system an analysis was undertaken to identify the development of new sidewalks where none currently exist. Such additions will improve the connectivity of the City for pedestrians. Development of new sidewalks have been prioritized as follows:


- **First Priority.** (shown in orange on the graphic below)
  - State Highways and Major Streets – Routes that connect neighborhoods to retail, industry, and institutions will have sidewalks on at least one side of the street.
- **Second Priority.** (shown in green)
  - State Highways and Major Streets – Routes with rural housing densities will have sidewalks on at least one side of the street.
- **Third Priority.** (shown in blue)
  - School Walking Routes and State Highways – Routes used for walking to and from schools and other institutions, as well as US-12 and M-99, will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis for the need to add sidewalks to the second side of the street.
  - State Highways and Major Streets – Routes near the City limits that connect to institutions and employment will have sidewalks on at least one side of the street.



- **Fourth Priority.** (shown in purple)

- Local Streets – Neighborhoods with through streets will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis for the need for sidewalks on at least one side of the street.
- Major Streets – Deal Parkway and Industrial Parkway will be evaluated for sidewalks on at least one side of the street.

The City will seek opportunities to advance lower priority sidewalks where economies can be accomplished in combination with street or other construction projects.



***Objective 3.2: Continue to Invest in Nonmotorized Trail Development and Upgrades***

The City of Jonesville should continue to maintain and invest in its existing trails, such as the Jerry Russell Trail, while also taking advantage of opportunities to develop new trails should they arise.

The presence of the North Country National Scenic Trail within the community also presents unique opportunities that the City should seek to leverage further. In addition to health benefits for the community the North Country Trail holds the possibility of significant positive economic impact as well.




Embracing the North Country National Scenic Trail, the longest of America's 11 National Scenic Trails, stretching 4,800 miles across eight states, as it passes through the city should be a priority. Pursuing a "Trail Town" designation from the North Country Trail Association's Trail Town program should be actively embraced. Becoming a Trail Town can grow awareness and support for the North



County Trail, improve access and information to get members of the community active in the outdoors and boost the economic benefits by providing recognition to local businesses and attracting hikers from outside of the community.

As part of the key stakeholder outreach during the development of this recreation plan representatives of the Baw Beese Chapter of the North Country Trail Association were interviewed to gain insight and feedback on their trail development efforts. The Baw Beese Chapter has 62 members and is responsible for 51 miles of the North Country Trail as it travels through Lost Nation State Game Area, through Osseo, past Baw Beese Lake and through Hillsdale, Jonesville, and Litchfield. The City of Jonesville is working with the Baw Beese Chapter of the North Country Trail Association to explore the feasibility of extending the Jerry Russell Trail / North Country Trail north across the St. Joseph River. It is recommended that the City continue to actively seek to work with partners such as the Baw Beese Chapter of the North Country Trail Association and the Headwaters Recreational Authority to continue to expand the nonmotorized network throughout the city and to seek connections with neighboring communities.





***Objective 3.3: Study the Feasibility of Developing Mountain Bike Trails***

Region 2 Planning Commission through its Southern Michigan Outdoors initiative is leveraging the region's outdoor recreation assets for economic development purposes through a three-pronged approach focusing on talent attraction and retention, outdoor recreation event development, and furthering the rec tech entrepreneurial ecosystem. A key priority within these efforts is to identify potential locations within the Region 2 area for the development of mountain bike trails with the goal of attracting enthusiasts to the communities where such trails are located, which in turn will generate economic impact. It is recommended the City begin the longer range planning of determining feasible locations within Jonesville for such trail development.



**Goal 4: Provide Access to the St. Joseph River**

Water connectivity to lakes, rivers, and streams, continues to be one of the most popularly desired recreational activities in the country. The need for improved access to the St. Joseph River has long been a recognized community desire dating to at least the 2009- 2013 edition of the Jonesville & Fayette Township Joint Recreation Plan.

***Objective 4.1: Provide New or Enhanced Opportunities for Canoeing, Kayaking, and Other Riverine Activities***

Identify and support projects that improve river access for Riverfest and other activities. Highest priority should be given to projects that provide the easiest accessibility to the river in order to gain momentum for further activation of the river. To stimulate river activation explore the possibility of partnering with local businesses and institutions to build canoe/kayak launches and fishing platforms in appropriate locations along the river.

Additionally, connections between the river and the non-motorized network should be created, expanded, and encouraged whenever possible.

***Objective 4.2: Explore the Feasibility of Developing a St. Joseph Riverwalk***

A long range goal should be to explore the feasibility of developing a riverwalk along the St. Joseph River to activate the waterway and provide opportunity for Jonesville residents to more actively engage with the river.



*This page intentionally blank.*





Appendix D Section 6

## **Recreation Plan: Action Plan**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*

	<u>Cost:</u>	<u>Lead Entity:</u>	<u>Implementation:</u>
<b>Goal 1: Improvements to Wright Street Park</b>			
Objective 1.1: Create an off leash dog park on the “Drake” Property	\$30,000.00	City of Jonesville	Medium Term
Objective 1.2: Improve the parking at Wright Street Park	TBD	City of Jonesville	Long Term
Objective 1.3: Create an entertainment pavilion/band shell	\$250,000.00	City of Jonesville/Partner	Long Term
Objective 1.4: New, improved, or refurbished playground equipment	\$300,000.00	City of Jonesville	Immediate
Objective 1.5: Wright Street Park trails	\$190,000.00	City of Jonesville	Medium Term
Objective 1.6: Install pickleball courts	\$100,000.00	City of Jonesville	Medium Term
Objective 1.7.1: Improve, expand, or relocate basketball courts	\$175,000.00	City of Jonesville	Medium Term
Objective 1.8.1: Update existing amenities	TBD	City of Jonesville	Immediate
Objective 1.8.2: Install cornhole courts	\$15,000.00	City of Jonesville	Medium Term
Objective 1.8.3: Install Little Free Library	\$1,000.00	City of Jonesville/Partner	Immediate
Objective 1.9.1: Install beach volleyball courts	\$25,000.00	City of Jonesville	Long Term
Objective 1.9.2: Fitness Stations	\$250,000.00	City of Jonesville	Long Term
<b>Goal 2: Update Carl Fast Park</b>			
Objective 2.1: Renovate playground equipment	TBD	City of Jonesville	Immediate
Objective 2.2.1: Update existing amenities	TBD	City of Jonesville	Immediate
Objective 2.2.2: Install cornhole courts	\$7,500.00	City of Jonesville	Immediate
Objective 2.2.3: Install Little Free Library	\$1,000.00	City of Jonesville/Partner	Immediate

Objective 2.2.4: Add an outdoor gas fire pit	\$50,000.00	City of Jonesville	Short Term
Objective 2.3: Creation of entry feature	\$30,000.00	City of Jonesville	Medium Term

### **Goal 3: Improve Pedestrian Connectivity**

Objective 3.1: Update and add sidewalks for improved connectivity	TBD	City of Jonesville	Short Term
Objective 3.2: Continue to invest in nonmotorized trails	TBD	City of Jonesville	Short Term
Objective 3.3: Study the feasibility of developing mountain bike trails	TBD	City of Jonesville	Long Term

### **Goal 4: Provide Access to the St. Joseph River**

Objective 4.1: Opportunities for canoeing/kayaking/other activities	TBD	City of Jonesville/Partner	Long Term
Objective 4.2: Explore the feasibility of a St. Joseph Riverwalk	TBD	City of Jonesville	Long Term

The proposed timing of implementation is as follows:

- Immediate: 1 – 12 months
- Short Term: 1 – 3 years
- Medium Term: 3 – 5 years
- Long Term: 5+ years

Implementation timing suggestions are based on factors such as cost, ease of achieving objective, and expressed interest in the objective garnered during the public input process. Implementation of objectives can be adjusted as opportunities present themselves to fund particular objectives. Although the City of Jonesville is listed as the lead entity for each objective it is recommended that the City seek out potential community partners for implementation of objectives, where appropriate.





Appendix D Addendum A


## **Recreation Plan: Demographics**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*


## Demographics

The population of Jonesville has grown slowly and steadily over the last century, and it has remained a small and welcoming place. Jonesville experienced its most significant growth in the years after World War II, with about a 20% population increase in the decades of the 1950s and 1960s. Growth has slowed in recent decades, and Jonesville lost residents in the 2000s. However, the last eleven years, the city saw some of its highest growth in generations, adding nearly 15% to the population.




## Age and Gender

Jonesville's population is defined by two age cohorts, adults and their children with very few college-aged residents. The majority of residents are between 24 and 64 (Millennials and Gen X) accounting for about 42% of the population. Their children, from newborns to high school seniors (Gen Z and Gen Alpha) represent 36% of Jonesville residents. However, people who are college aged (18-24) are just more than 8%, while older Michiganders, those 65 and up, are just under 14% of the population.




Jonesville has more women than men, at 51.5% to 48.5%. It is about one percentage point more female than the state of Michigan, which is 50.5% to 49.5%.




## Race and Ethnicity

Jonesville is overwhelmingly white, with over 91% of the population identifying that way. There are small numbers of Black and Native residents, as well as people who identify with more than one racial group also living in the area.




## Education and Income

Education attainment, a strong indicator of success and growth, shows that more 90% of Jonesville's residents having graduated high school, a higher percent than Hillsdale County but slightly lower than Michigan as a whole. However, only 13.5% completed a bachelor's degree, about three-quarters that of Hillsdale County, and only two-fifths that of Michigan.




Jonesville households have a median income of \$45,329, and a majority earn less than \$50,000. The average per-capita income is \$23,397. The average household income is about 80% of the average for Hillsdale County, and below the Michigan average by about 25%. On a per-capita basis the township lags slightly behind the county average, and about one-third lower than the state average.



## Housing

The vast majority of housing in Jonesville is single unit homes. A little under one-quarter are multi-unit dwellings, while the remaining 7% are mobile homes. Nearly 65% of residents own their home.





*This page intentionally blank.*



Appendix D Addendum B

## **Recreation Plan: Public Input**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*

**Jeff Gray**

---

**From:** Lorissa T <1lorissathompson@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 5, 2024 2:30 PM  
**To:** Jeff Gray  
**Subject:** Plans for Wright St. Park

Hello Jeff,

My name is Lorissa Thompson. My family and I have lived in Jonesville since 2015. We have read through the plans that the City has proposed for the renovations to the parks and truly feel like it is lacking in the details around the playground equipment. It is mentioned however it is very vague and seems to be much lower on the priority list. As a parent of a 5-year-old I can share that the park is lacking in the appeal to children. We go to Hillsdale's park at Baw Beese more than we go to the park in Jonesville and we live on the street across from it. We do this because the Baw Beese Park has much nicer equipment, more things to play on and with, along with the rubber mulch that softens any blows to falls. I would love to know more details around what the city would like to do with the playground equipment as it is one of the biggest reasons the park exists.

Thank you!  
Lorissa Thompson

*This page intentionally blank.*



**Jeff Gray**

---

**From:** Amy Spiegel <aspiegel1@hillsdale.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 8, 2024 11:37 AM  
**To:** Jeff Gray  
**Subject:** Recreation Master Plan

Good morning, Mr. Gray:

First let me thank you for the efficient and effective management you and your team provide our city. We moved to Jonesville a year ago and have been so pleased with our choice. Our interactions with city staff have been extremely positive and we have thoroughly enjoyed the town.

I am very excited about the proposed improvements to the park and recreational facilities; especially the dog park and walking trails which is something we miss from our previous homes in Indiana. However, I would like to provide my feedback regarding the proposed fitness areas which seem quite expensive in proportion to their likely use. I would much prefer to see this money go to improvements to and expansions of the playground equipment. While our children are older and we don't currently have grandchildren, I remember with great fondness and gratitude the years when our children were small and our trips to the local park. A well-equipped playground can bring a great deal of joy and physical exercise (for kids) and relief and fellowship (for tired parents). I would love to see that type of space created for generations of Jonesville families, a place for moms and dads to watch their kids play while chatting with their neighbors. I would also imagine this type of space could act as a draw for potential residence as well as area visitors (I can remember driving over an hour to visit a special park and making a day of it with the kids) who are likely to stop in for a coffee, fill up their tank or maybe stop for dinner before going home.

In a perfect world, we could have both the exercise equipment and the additional playground equipment but I realize that resources are finite and given the makeup of our community, in this case, I think the funds are much better used in favor of the latter. Thank you for taking the time to listen to my input. Hope to see you next Tuesday at the community meeting.

Have a wonderful day.

Amy E. Spiegel | Administrative Assistant for Gift & Estate Planning  
 Hillsdale College | 33 E. College Street, Hillsdale, MI 49242  
 Office: 517-607-2783 | [aspiegel1@hillsdale.edu](mailto:aspiegel1@hillsdale.edu) | [hillsdalelegacy.org](http://hillsdalelegacy.org)



*This page intentionally blank.*

January 8, 2024

Jeff Gray, City Manager  
Jonesville City Hall  
265 E. Chicago Street  
Jonesville, MI 49250

Dear Planning Commission,

First and foremost, I want to thank you for your efforts to entertain new possibilities for our city parks. The draft master plan has recommended many great options to consider. As a resident of the city for the last four years, and a native of Hillsdale County, I am very passionate about the offerings of our community and ensuring that our residents are heard.

With that being said, I would like to provide some feedback for the Planning Commission in the hopes to serve our community as best as possible.

**Recommendation 1:** *Provide more detail to Objective 1.4, Playground Equipment, as a top request from the community.*

After first reviewing the Recreation Plan, I was very excited at the many proposals outlined, but quite disappointed by the little attention to the playground equipment section. According to the public input gathering, improved playground equipment had 11 mentions, and the walking trails had 8 mentions. Despite this public comment, Objective 1.4 simply recommends "the City make every effort to refurbish the "vintage" equipment" and that the existing location has space to "accommodate additional equipment." Whereas, Objective 1.5, which relates to the walking trails, speaks to adding more trails and talks significantly about the implementation of a potential fitness area. I am unable to find specific requests or support for a fitness area, but the extensive discussion of such an initiative leads the reader, such as myself, to believe that a fitness area is a much bigger priority than the playground equipment, which I believe, was not the case. I completely acknowledge that the walking trails were a very requested item as a result of the public survey, but I would like to point out that the emailed survey did not speak or ask questions related to the use of our parks and the playground equipment. Thus, more emphasis on the trails and fitness area is not a fair representation of the community needs, as the parks and offerings at the parks were not questioned.

**Thus, I would ask our Committee to evaluate:** *Do the objectives of the proposed Recreation Plan match our community makeup?*

As found on page 11 of the plan, here is a breakdown of the city population:

Preschool (0-4)	13.2%	Young Adult (25-44)	21.9%
School Age (5-17)	22.9%	Adults (45-64)	20.2%
College Age (20-24)	8.00%	Older Adults (65+)	13.8%

This means, that 36.1% of our community is comprised of children between the age of 0 and 17. If we were to look at the Recreation Plan as it currently exists, does it appear that 1/3 of our efforts or objectives are aimed to benefit a core demographic of our community?

**Recommendation 2:** *Supply community with a Summary of the Master Plan, as well as the full Master Plan.*


In an effort to assist those with no planning background, I have prepared a summary of the Master Plan (for the Wright Street Park only) that I believe would immensely assist our constituents in understanding the plan as proposed. While I fully recognize the plan as prepared must be detailed to serve the needs of the grant and funding process, it is much too comprehensive for the ordinary reader. The sheer number of pages (100) is overwhelming, and the proposal itself does not start until Page 53. In order to assist our community to feel welcome and encouraged to provide feedback on two very vital areas of our community, we should provide them the proposal in both a user-friendly and detailed format.

**Recommendation 3:** *Circulate survey to residents of Jonesville and ask them to rank and provide input on the various proposals found within the Recreation Master Plan.*

In preparing this shortened summary, I noticed that a lot of emphasis on these proposed changes were based on the "Gathering of Public Input." Based on the proposal, there were 18 individuals represented in the session (whether in person or by comment card). While I believe these 18 comments created a great base for the current proposed improvements, I believe our community would further be served by a survey asking them to prioritize these roughly 7 objectives. Unfortunately, in-person events are very difficult for families with young children, which is why I was unavailable to attend on that particular day. The recreation survey that was sent out focused on pedestrian/bicycling behaviors and non-motorized recreation and had an outstanding response rate from 146 individuals. This survey, unfortunately, did not really speak to the community's desire for utilization of our parks, and thus, I believe providing a survey at this time to help us determine the prioritization of these proposed objectives and request public comment in a format would be valuable and appreciated. Based on the prior recreation survey, people are very inclined to provide their thoughts through a survey.

I sincerely thank you for your time and consideration of my thoughts. I would be happy to discuss these further over the phone or an in-person meeting.

Respectfully,



Kayla M. Thompson, J.D.

### Overview of City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition



#### Summary of Proposed Improvements

- |       |                           |                          |
|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| • 1.1 | Off-Leash Dog Park        | Parcel G                 |
| • 1.2 | Improve Parking           | Parcel A                 |
| • 1.3 | Performance Band Shell    | Parcel F                 |
| • 1.4 | Playground Equipment      | Parcel D                 |
| • 1.5 | More Trails               | Parcels A, B, D, E, F, G |
| • 1.6 | Install Pickleball Courts | Parcel C                 |



**Goal 1: Improvements to Wright Street Park**

- 1.1 Create an Off-Leash Dog Park on the "Drake" Property (Parcel G)
  - Mentioned 14 times at gathering of public input.
  - Donation of one acre of land to be added to Wright Street Park with the stipulation the property be utilized as a dog park.
  - Explore feasibility of developing nature trails on this parcel, in addition to dog park.
- 1.2 Improve the Parking at Wright Street Park (Parcel A)
  - Mentioned 12 times at gathering of public input.
  - Possibility of 68 parking spaces on Parcel A
  - Possibility of 75 parking spaces on Parcel C, requires moving courts
  - Possibility of 49 parking spaces on Parcel B, but should be the last resort
- 1.3 Improve the Utilization (Parcel F)
  - Mentioned 12 times at gathering of public input.
  - Use Parcel F to reimagine Wright Street Park with a performance band shell.
  - If band shell were developed, could also add the addition of a trail loop through Parcel F.
- 1.4 New, Improved, or Refurbished Playground Equipment (Parcel D)
  - Mentioned 11 times at gathering of public input.
  - Adding additional playground equipment and refurbishing the existing equipment in Parcel D.
  - Recommended that the City make every effort to refurbish the "vintage" equipment within the park given its uniqueness.
- 1.5 More Trails in Wright Street Park
  - Mentioned 8 times at gathering of public input.
  - Adding walking trails and paths throughout the park and enhancing the trails currently found in parcel B. A trail loop throughout the park would logically meander through Parcel F.
  - Potentially expand the trails through the proposed "Drake" property (i.e., dog park).
  - Explore addition of an outdoor fitness park to enhance and expand the trails.
- 1.6 Install Pickleball Courts (Parcel C)
  - Install one or two pickleball courts where the old tennis courts were located.
  - Cost in 2020 was \$40,000 per court, so current costs would be closer to \$50,000 per court with annual maintenance expenses of \$5k - \$6k.

## 1.7 Additional Improvements and Additions to Consider

- Beach Volleyball Courts
  - i. Possibility to install two beach volleyball courts with an anticipated cost of \$17,125 plus labor.
  - ii. Two courts would fit in Parcel B, Parcel A, or Parcel E, with the ideal locations in that order.
- Improve, Expand or Relocate Wright Street Basketball Courts
  - i. Renovate the existing court in Parcel C is the most cost-effective solution for improved basketball courts.  
Other options include moving basketball courts or adding additional basketball courts.
- Add Concrete Cornhole Boards
  - i. Possibility to install four concrete cornhole boards with total cost of \$10k - \$15k.
- Add Little Free Library to Wright Street Park
  - i. Possibility to add one or two Little Free Libraries to the park which would have a total cost between \$500 and \$1000.

Potential Park Ideas









**Jeff Gray**

---

**From:** Jonathan Caldwell <jcaldwell@greenmarkequipment.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 15, 2023 11:10 AM  
**To:** Jeff Gray  
**Subject:** Recreation Master Plan

Jeff,

I wanted to reach out say well done on this! I read most of it, browsed over a few parts, but thought it was well put together and easy to understand. I liked it has some local demographics in it as well. Those improvements will be awesome for our community and I look forward to utilizing those with my family. I wanted to give credit where credit was due.

Kee up the good work Jeff.

*Thanks,*  
*Jonathan Caldwell*

**Sales Professional**

1166 E. Chicago Rd.

Jonesville, MI 49250

Mobile: 517-867-2099

Office: 517-849-9966

Email: jcaldwell@greenmarkequipment.com

Greenmarkequipment.com

Holland – Hastings – Kent City – Jenison – Three Rivers  
Sodus – Union – Athens – Jonesville – LaGrange – Winamac  
Knox – Plymouth – Leesburg – Mishawaka – Monticello





*This page intentionally blank.*



*Jerry Russell Trail*

Appendix D Addendum C

## **Recreation Plan: Summary of Other Trail Planning Efforts**

City of Jonesville Master Plan: 2019 Edition

*This page intentionally blank.*

## **Summary of Other Trail Planning Efforts:**

### **Headwaters Recreational Authority**

The Headwaters Recreational Authority has published a [Recreation and Pathway Plan](#) that “provides the framework under which the community will plan for and construct pathways, provide linkages to existing non-motorized infrastructure (e.g. sidewalks), and support alternate modes of transportation.” The plan includes discussion of the Jerry Russel Trail for Jonesville, which has been completed, and also proposes the development of develop a non-motorized a path from Jonesville to Hillsdale, as well as other trails connecting Jonesville to paths that are north of the city.

### **North Country Trail**

The North Country National Scenic Trail is the longest in the National Trails System, stretching 4,800 miles across eight states from North Dakota to Vermont, with the largest section, 1,150 miles, traversing Michigan. The Trail traverses through more than 160 federal, state and local public lands, including 10 National Forests, four areas of the National Park Service, and over 100 state parks, forests and game areas.<sup>1</sup>



---

<sup>1</sup> <https://northcountrytrail.org/the-trail/explore-the-trail/>

The Chief Baw Beese Chapter's section of the North Country Trail begins north of Litchfield at the Calhoun/Hillsdale county line, where farming country is somewhat more rolling. Litchfield, the Chapter's first official Trail Town, offers a welcoming hiking trail to the center of town, and several more opportunities for hikers' needs to be met.

The Chief Baw Beese section also utilizes the Baw Beese Trail created by the City of Hillsdale, and the connector with its close neighbor, Jonesville, and goes through tiny-but-growing Osseo. Jonesville has worked closely with the local Chief Baw Beese Chapter to improve trails around the town, most prominently the Jerry Russel Trail. The section also includes the 3 mile Hill-Jo trail that runs along M-99 heading south out of Jonesville towards Hillsdale. Many, including those in the community and members of the Chief Baw Beese Chapter would like to see this trail moved away from the road to a safer and more scenic route. The local chapter also runs hikes on the section through Jonesville, often ending at a restaurant, helping to boost the local economy.

## **Great Lake to Lake Trails**


There is currently an effort to establish five (5) trails across Michigan connecting Great Lake to Great Lake, with three (3) planned for the Lower Peninsula and two (2) more for the Upper Peninsula. Route 1 runs closest to Jonesville going through Jackson County cities including Jackson and Concord as it traverses the state from Port Huron to South Haven with a length 275 miles. It is also the most complete of the routes, and people have been riding it for a few years now.

## **Iron Belle Trail**

The Iron Belle Trail is actually two trails covering Michigan from Belle Isle in Detroit to the far Western tip of the Upper Peninsula. Using existing trail networks and forging new connections, the Iron Belle Trail runs for 2,000 miles, crossing through 48 different Michigan counties, with a route for hiking and a route for biking. It is currently 71% complete.<sup>2</sup> Like Route 1 of the Great Lake to Lake Trail, it does not reach Jonesville, but crosses through Jackson and Homer before winding its way north.

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.michigan.gov/dnr/places/state-trails/iron-belle>





*This page intentionally blank.*